Approved For Release 2004/03/25 : CIA-RDP81M00980R003200070023-5

SECRET

00/A Registry 78-2443/4

> 0GC 78-5420 817-78

1 6 AUG 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

0LC #78-306C

VIA:

Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

General Counsel

Legislative Counsel

Comptroller

FROM:

John F. Blake

Deputy Director for Administration

SUBJECT:

Impact of Public Suildings Act (Prospectus)

on Acquisition of Space (U)

1. (U) Action Requested: It is requested that you sign and direct the attached letter to the Chairmen of the house and Senate Appropriations Committees.

## 2. (U) Background and Staff Position:

a. On 17 July 1978, Administrator Solomon of the General Services Administration (GSA) acceded to repeated requests and authorized the acquisition of leased space in the Metropolitan Washington Area (MWA) (see Attachment 1). However, this authorization was coupled with the requirement that the acquisition be effected in accordance with the provisions of the Public Buildings Act of 1959, as amended. This requires the preparation of a detailed prospectus in coordination with GSA, approval to proceed from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), and, finally, authorization from the Public Works Committees in both houses of Congress. This process can take from 12 to 18 months to accomplish (with no guarantee of obtaining authorization to proceed) and only when it is successfully concluded will GSA begin the actual process of acquisition (market analysis, solicitations, etc.). This latter process normally takes another 9 to 12 months before a lease is actually signed and to this must be added at least 6 months for alterations. Assuming it will take 3 months just to prepare the prospectus, we, therefore, face a 30-month delay before significant relief from our space problems can be realized.

Unclassified when separated from attackproved For Release 2004/03/25 GIA RDP81M00980R003200070023-5

25X

SUBJECT: Impact of Public Buildings Act (Prospectus) on Acquisition of Space (U)

- c. Notwithstanding the moratorium, the Agency continued to plan for the eventual acquisition as evidenced by the various budget submissions, both going from the Office of Logistics (OL) to the Comptroller and ultimately from the Comptroller to Congress. The audit trail commenced in August 1975 when the Director of Logistics forwarded his FY 1977 budget estimates for the Federal Buildings Fund to the Comptroller (see Attachment 3). Subsequently, the Comptroller's submission to OMB for FY 1977 carried forward for the acquisition (see 25X1 OL's estimate of Attachment 4). As a result of ONB's review, the budget submission to the Congressional Appropriations Committees was reduced and reflected a request for \$935,000 to fund the acquisition (see Attachment 5). BCI Bush, in an appearance before the House Appropriations Committee on I April 1976, was queried by Chairman Mahon concerning this line item in the budget (see Attachment 6) and justified the requirement.
  - authorized and funds appropriated by Congress in FY 1977. Because acquisition was considered feasible and imminent, the requirement, as a distinct line item in the budget, was dropped in succeeding fiscal years. However, the requirement was carried over as a firm requirement in internal Agency budget documents (see Attachment 7 for OL's FY 1979 forecast) and to Congress by the Comptroller (see Attachment 8). The fundamental difference between the FY 1977 submission and those of succeeding years is one of format. Rather than reflecting a separate dollar amount in the "Expansion" column as was done in FY 1977, the requirement was lumped into the total needed to reimburse the Federal Buildings Fund under the Standard Level User Charges (SLUC).

SUBJECT: Impact of Public Buildings Act (Prospectus) on Acquisition of Space (U)

This dichotomy arises because the budget planning cycle begins each year in January with the issuance of the Program Call which is applicable to the fiscal year beginning 21 months later. Thus, budget planning for Fiscal Year 1978 25X1 and out years had to assume that the originally appropriated would automatically be required in succeeding fiscal years, and this sum was merely added to the aggregate SLUC payment base cost column in FY 1978 and subsequent submissions.

- The crux of the matter is outlined in an Office of General Counsel (OGC) memorandum of 17 March 1978 (see Attachment 9) wherein OGC advised that if it can be shown that monies in excess of \$500,000 were appropriated for a specific purpose, the requirements imposed by the Public Buildings Act no longer pertain. Such a legal determination will allow the appropriate agency official to so certify in writing to the requesting agency, GSA in this instance, thus avoiding the requirement for a prospectus. Because the Federal Buildings Fund was established under an amendment to the Public Buildings Act and to avoid any appearance of misleading Congress, or GSA acting as our agent, it is appropriate to notify the Chairmen of the respective Appropriations Committees of the Agency's position and intent. similar course of action was followed in May of 1978 regarding Project SAFE and other pressing construction requirements (see Attachment 10).
- (U) Recommendation: It is recommended that you sign and dispatch the attached letter to the Chairmen of the House and Senate Appropriations Committees.

/s/ john F. Blake

John F. Blake

Atts

Distribution:

Orig. - Adse (w/atts) 1 - DDCI (w/atts)

1 - ER (w/atts)

1 - GC (w/atts)1 - LC (w/atts)

1 - Compt (w/atts)

Forwarding Ltr

	RNAL ONLY		CONFIDENTIAL SECRET	
Approved For R	OUTING AND	RECOR	P81M00980R003200070023-5 D SHEET	
JECT: (Optional)				
Impact of Public Bui	ldings Act (	Prospec	tus) on Acquisition of Space (	J)
FROM:		EXTENSION	NO.	
John F. Blake Deputy Director for Ad	ministration		DATE	
-, -	T		LO AUG 1075 "	
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE	OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)	
	RECEIVED FORWARDED	<del>-\</del>		
General Counsel 7D07 Hqs	8-17-78	\ \		
2.			To #9:	
3,			Sir:	2
Legislative Counsel 6C19 Hqs	8-25-18		While some of this	
4.			language may be tortuous and extremely detailed, it is	
			necessary for the record that	
5.Comptroller		·	we establish a clear audit trail that, in fact, this	
4E06			cost element was included in	
6.			our congressional budget sub- mission, and Congress knowingl	<b>.</b>
			acted upon it. The rationale for this has been established	
Deputy Director of Central Intelligence			in the Office of General Couns opinion covering a similar	e 1
8.			certification given for the SAFE/ADSTAR systems.	
			- JAN L/ ADSTAR SYSTEMS.	2
<sup>9</sup> Director of Central Intelligence			John F. Blake	ATTACA CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY
10.				
.W			OLC Concurs in The recommendation	Sanction and the sanction of t
12.			- M. Ba a or MINEN Callo	
			Me her	- Table
13.			d 060	* Actionates Const.
<b>14.</b>			Den achile	e-recentage and
15.				Language Carl
	and a second second		MECHANICAL STRUMBER CONTROL COMMERCE OF THE CONTROL	r 'i